

OECI Accreditation project

National Institute of Oncology, Budapest Long time devotion to cooperation comprehensiveness, multidisciplinarity and quality



Introduction

- Founded in 1936, first multidisciplinary teams: 1936
- International collaboration from early times
 - □ OECI member from the beginning , Prof. Eckhardt: president of OECI in the late 80s.
 - □ Central European Oncology Group: 80s
- And now
 - Extensive collaboration with organizations / universities on four continents
 - Member of all significant cancer organizations
- Quality
 - International: Center of Excellence initiative and meetings, Milan, national cancer institute's initiative, Lyon
 - Home: ISO system since 2001, Integrated Hospital System (IHS) since 2006



Introduction

- Comprehensiveness
 - □ Full range of clinical activities in cancer
 - □ Associated and integrated cancer research center on site
 - □ Wide range of clinical and translational research local, academic (EORTC, IBCSG), industry sponsored trials
 - □ Graduate and postgraduate education in three medical universities
 - □ Elaboration and maintenance of the National Cancer Control Program
 - □ Running the National Cancer Registry
 - □ Establishment and renewal of national guidelines 3rd edition since 1994
 - National center for epidemiology, methodology and organization of oncology in Hungary
 - □ Professional background for civil organizations



- Interested in the quality of oncology care on European level
- Support whole heartedly from the very beginning because:
 - European oncology care is dispersed and fragmented
 - A network of comprehensive cancer centers fully covering whole Europe is needed to serve as focus points to
 - affiliate all surrounding oncology care providers
 - give professional background
 - ensure quality management
 - in order to achieve homogenous and high quality of cancer care throughout Europe



- Motivations
 - Overall improvement of quality
 - Strengthen comprehensiveness
 - Improve patient care and satisfaction
 - Improving competitiveness in translational and clinical research
 - Transfer of accreditation and organization knowledge nationwide
 - The prestige of being internationally accredited



- Questionnaire
 - Requires high amount of work to fill in
 - Should be more precisely specified in certain points (e.g. patient / intervention numbers)
 - □ Requires dedicated team
- Preparation for the audit
 - Needs a strong backing from the leadership
 - Requires very good communication within the institute
 - □ Intensive collaboration with the auditors is essential
 - □ The most demanding part is the translation of the documentation



- Peer-review
 - Very intensive, demanding both for audited and auditors
 - □ Auditors performance was very good
 - trained, informed, focused
 - friendly and thorough approach
 - More time should be available for the auditors to prepare for the audit: lot of data / short time
 - □ Audit was a bit short: length of audit should be adopted to the size and activity of the audited institute
 - With more time and less tense schedule miscommunications can be avoided
 - □ Language was not a problem at all