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The Organisation of European Cancer Institutes: Message from the President

Dear OECI Members,

First, I am grateful to the 2005 General Assembly of the OECI in Piraeus for entrusting me with the mission to chair the Organisation in the next 3 years. No doubt, this is an interesting and very important mission, and I look forward to the challenges and to a constructive cooperation with all of you Members of the OECI.

We all know that the cancer problem is increasing both in Europe and worldwide. Despite clear progress and successes in cancer treatment, analysts observe that cancer care and research remain too fragmented across Europe. Fragmented oncology makes it difficult to progress fast and to put our collective know-how and resources to best use for affordable, high-quality cancer care. We all agree that the comprehensive view of the cancer patient is a key to success, with the consequence that cancer care should be organized around multidisciplinary teams.

We know that at present, 50-60% of cancer patients are cured by treatment. To improve this outcome, innovation is important. Research and education are other components that should be integrated with care and prevention. The OECI is working on a programme to develop comprehensiveness in the cancer area. With a common vision, aims and objectives of the European oncology will be developed to reach comprehensiveness, in practice an integration of prevention, care, research, development and education. Integration should be an accepted goal of all Cancer Centres, be they part of Cancer Hospitals or Virtual Cancer Centres linked to university hospitals.

It is important for our organisation to realize that we, the cancer centres, have a major role to play in translation and that innovation in care is one of our main objectives. Who else will play that role if the cancer centres do not take on that challenge?

Dear Friends, the increasing cancer burden in Europe is a problem we all share. Increasing quality, effectiveness and enhancing our resources require strong collaboration and a strategy which will influence the political and decision-making systems in the right direction. The OECI’s vision and strategy should be used for this purpose.

With my kindest regards,
Sincerely yours,

Pr Ulrik Ringberg
OECI President

---

Please, please, please, to learn more about the OECI, go to: www.oeci-ecig.org !!!
Results of self-evaluation + proof documents provided to auditors

2 Days meeting:
Day 1: Auditors meeting: Review of self evaluations
Day 2: S.G meeting: Consensus on peer review system (tasks and responsibilities of people involved in the auditors group)

S.G meeting:
To agree on changes to be made to the questionnaire and the process

Final Draft of questionnaire and process to be agreed at a S.G meeting

Kick off meeting of the Accreditation process with all OECI members and partners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self Evaluation</th>
<th>Peer review visits</th>
<th>Analysis and review of the Pilot 2 results</th>
<th>Update of the tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd half Jan. 2008</td>
<td>Dijon Group A</td>
<td>9-10 April 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budapest Group B</td>
<td>23-24 April 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7 Feb 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-21 Feb 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10 April 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-24 April 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A: Renée Otter, Wim van Harten, Mia Bergenmar, Jean-Benoît Burrion, Henk Hummel, Cécile Tableau.
Group B: Renée Otter, Dominique de Valeriola, Jolanda Maaskant, Mahasti Saghatchian, Henk Hummel, Cécile Tableau.
For a demonstration of the tool, please go to: http://oei.selfassessment.eu  
Username: oeic  
Password: oeic
Results of self-evaluation + proof documents provided to auditors

**2 Days meeting:**
Day 1: Auditors meeting: Review of self evaluations
Day 2: S.G meeting: Consensus on peer review system (tasks and responsibilities of people involved in the auditors group)

**S.G meeting:**
To agree on changes to be made to the questionnaire and the process

**Final Draft** of questionnaire and process to be agreed at a S.G meeting

Kick off meeting of the Accreditation process with all OECI members and partners

---

**Self Evaluation**

- **Brussels**
  - Group A: June 2007
  - Group B: End Nov. 2007

- **Dijon**
  - Group A: 2nd half Jan. 2008

- **Budapest**
  - Group B: 2nd half Jan. 2008

**Peer review visits**

- **Brussels**
  - Group A: 6-7 Feb 2008
  - Group B: 20-21 Feb 2008

- **Dijon**
  - Group A: 9-10 April 2008

- **Budapest**
  - Group B: 23-24 April 2008

**Analysis and review of the Pilot 2 results**

- **May 2008**
- **End June 2008**

**Update of the tool**

- **Sept. 2008**
- **Dec. 2008**
- **February 2009**

---

**Group A:** Renée Otter, Wim van Harten, Mia Bergenmar, Jean-Benoît Burrion, Henk Hummel, Cécile Tableau.

**Group B:** Renée Otter, Dominique de Valeriola, Jolanda Maaskant, Mahasti Saghatchian, Henk Hummel, Cécile Tableau.
OECI Accreditation Projet

7FP Call:
“Disease networks of centres of reference”
Quality Improvement in Europe: a case-study with cancer
Plan

◆ The Project
◆ Expected Impact
◆ 7th PCRD’s rules
◆ Calendar
The Project


**Scoping** study on the **feasibility** of developing **guidelines, criteria and areas** to develop European **networks of centres** for diseases requiring a particular concentration of **resources or expertise**, which would also be focal points for **research, information dissemination** and **evaluation** and medical **training**

**Funding scheme:** Coordination and Support Action *(Support Action)*

**Deadline for Submission:** 18 Sept 2007
Expected Impact

- These small and targeted Coordination and Support actions should help achieving the objectives across the activity:

« Optimising the delivery of health care to European citizens »
PCRD Rules (1)

| Co-ordination and support action (supporting) | At least 1 independent legal entity |

Normally focused on:

⇒ one specific activity and often one specific event

Target Audience:

⇒ Research Organisations; Universities; Industries (including SME); Research programme managers and owners

Size and Resources:

⇒ The size, scope and internal organisation of coordination and support action can vary from research theme and from topic to topic

⇒ Expected funding 300 000 Euros
Objectives of support actions

- "Support actions" aimed at contributing to the implementation of the Framework Programmes and the preparation of future Community research and technological development policy
  - Gathering data
  - Prepare a methodology applicable
  - Conference for dissemination with other disease groups
  - Labelisation working group
- or the development of synergies with other policies,
  - Link with guidelines
    - Link with registries
    - Link with eurocare
Objectives of support actions

- or to stimulate, encourage and facilitate the participation of SMEs, civil society organisations
  - Europa Donna (advisory/review Board)
  - Lynn Bowel’s campaign
  - Prostate guys
- and their networks, small research teams and newly developed or remote research centres
  - Networking of centres with the others / non core centres
- in the activities of the thematic areas of the Cooperation programme, or for setting up of research intensive clusters across the EU regions.
PCRD Rules (2)

Indicative Average Duration:

⇒ 48 months

Activities:

⇒ Such as:

Conferences; seminars;

1 huge conf

Working groups;

Fact finding; monitoring: development of the tool

Strategy development: methodology for other diseases

Expert groups: labelisation group

Operational support and dissemination: oeci

Training (auditors)

Management of the consortium: oeci
Scoping study: prepare further actions

on the feasibility: pilot

of developing guidelines

  for good clinical practice (cocancpg)
  For procedures of quality evaluation and improvement
  For patient information
  For networking

criteria and areas: tools box (questionnaires)

to develop European networks of centres: networking around / among the centres of reference (WG), OECI dissemination
- for diseases requiring a particular concentration of **resources or expertise** : quality and quantity requirements for a cancer centre

- which would also be focal points for **research**, information **dissemination** and **evaluation** and medical **training**

- **Centres of reference : LABELISATION WG** (develop methodology for labelisation and the labels)

**Question : how the accreditation tool can lead to labelisation of centres of reference**
Workplan

- Workpackages (to write and circulate before OECl GA Copenhagen: meeting in Copenhagen)
  - Management (MS)
  - Quality tool and process (HH and RO)
    - Electronic guide
    - Criteria - Indicators (for good quality care and for improvement)
    - Peer review
  - Labelisation methodology / labels (DdV, UR, WvH, JBB)
  - Guidelines / networking (BF RO)
    - CPG
    - Procedures for good practice
    - Patient info
    - Procedures for regional networking
  - Dissemination and outreach (MS)
  - Training, capacity building (MS): cocancpg
- Coordinator: OECI
- Partner: INCA (Cocanpg: C Barrat)
- Partner: Eurochip (Andrea Micheli in Milan)
- ACRONYM:

- Organisation and structure: Acc WG, Acc SG, Adv

- Subgroup to prepare the work and write the grant: MS
- Involve stakeholders +++
  - Patients organisation
  - Professional organisations (ESTRO, ESMO, EONS, ESSO, Eusoma, EORTC) : advisory Board

- What is going to have impact on patients health :(publi from Scotland …)
  - Developping indicators
  - Improve services, processes and structures
  - Improve information available for patients
Plan the time factor!

- Tool for internal, national, European quality improvement
- Methodology for labelisation
- Peer review through advisory board to be planned
  - Patients
  - Clinicians
  - Policy makers
Final Objective:

Spread best practice across Europe

Quality

Excellence
Calendar

- January 2007: Today
- May 2007: Project's finalisation
- June 2007: Project's finalisation
- September 2007: Calls' on proposal opening
- Project sent

Funding for 2008 - 2009
= dissemination / labelisation phase of the project
Results of self-evaluation + proof documents provided to auditors

2 Days meeting:
Day 1: Auditors meeting: Review of self evaluations
Day 2: S.G meeting: Consensus on peer review system (tasks and responsibilities of people involved in the auditors group)

S.G meeting:
To agree on changes to be made to the questionnaire and the process

Final Draft of questionnaire and process to be agreed at a S.G meeting

Kick off meeting of the Accreditation process with all OECI members and partners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Self Evaluation</th>
<th>Peer review visits</th>
<th>Analysis and review of the Pilot 2 results</th>
<th>Update of the tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brussels Group A</td>
<td>Bari Group B</td>
<td>Budapest Group B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>Group B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self Evaluation</td>
<td>June 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer review visits</td>
<td>6-7 Feb 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-21 Feb 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-10 April 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23-24 April 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis and review of the Pilot 2 results</td>
<td>May 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End June 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update of the tool</td>
<td>Sept. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>February 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group A: Renée Otter, Wim van Harten, Mia Bergenmar, Jean-Benoît Burrion, Henk Hummel, Cécile Tableau.
Group B: Renée Otter, Dominique de Valeriola, Jolanda Maaskant, Mahasti Saghatchian, Henk Hummel, Cécile Tableau.